

Index

Page 2	Timeline of events
Page 3	[1] Back Ground to Case
Page 3	[A] My Writing On Israeli Intelligence Activity In Australia And Elsewhere
Page 6	[i] Leon Wende – Collins Class Submarine and Other
	Military/Civilian Contracts
Page 14	[ii] Chinese Company Refused Australian Government Clearance
Page 22	[iii] Sayanim – Local Jews Act as ‘Helpers’ For Israeli Intelligence
Page 27	[iv] Prisoner X - “Sayanim”
Page 32	[v] Israel’s Non-Compliance With ‘Peace Process’
Page 33	[vi] Racism Within Israeli Society
Page 34	[vii] Zionists Control United States Foreign and Domestic Policy
Page 38	[viii] Jewish Founding of the Soviet State and Use Of ‘Sayanim’ In Past
Page 44	[ix] Should All Jews Be Held Accountable?
Page 47	[B] Clear Western Australian Establishment Position To Stifle Knowledge In The
	Public Interest (Jewish Racial and Religious Supremacism)
Page 70	[C] Media Reporting
Page 74	[D] Resignation Of Nine News Director Adrian Beattie
Page 76	[E] Head Of Local State Counter Terrorism Interested In ‘My Work’
Page 76	[F] Israeli Ambassador Supports Complainant In Case
Page 79	[2] Commentary on Racial Vilification Legislation
Page 79	[A] Former Chief Justice of New South Wales Comments On
	Vilification Legislation
Page 84	[B] Online Commentary - Bill Muehlenberg – “The problems with
	vilification legislation”
Page 86	[C] News Paper Article - “Hate gets fat chance”
Page 86	[D] Newspaper Article – Freedom of speech ‘at risk’
Page 94	[E] Newspaper Article – “Roxon orders law rethink”
Page 95	[F] ‘Racial Vilification’ Law Part of Police State?
Page 96	[G] Hansard of Debate – W.A Racial Vilification Legislation
Page 112	[H] Federal Law Review -
	“So Far So Good: A Critical Evaluation of Racial Vilification Laws in Australia”
Page 130	[I] Government Censorship
Page 136	[3] Jews as a ‘Racial Group’
Page 142	[A] Directions Hearing Comments
Page 148	[B] Stanley Keyser’s Comments At Trial
Page 151	[C] Rabbi Dovid Freilich’s Comments At Trial
Page 157	[D] Professor Andrew Markus’s Comments At Trial
Page 169	[4] Admissibility of Evidence - Prosecution
Page 173	[5] Admissibility of Evidence - Defence
Page 181	[6] Accusing the Senior Prosecutor of Corruptly Colluding With
	the Local Jewish Community and the Nine network
Page 183	[7] Difficulty Contacting Expert Witnesses
Page 187	[8] Getting Ordinary Witnesses To Appear
Page 188	[9] Matter May Be Taken Back To The Magistrates Court
Page 188	[10] Lawyer Stating He Had Gone Over Trial Process
Page 189	[11] Sacking Lawyers
Page 191	[12] Statement regarding Judge Running Trial
Page 191	[13] DCJ Wisbey’s Comments At Trial

Page 191 *[A] DCJ Wisbey Misleads On Options As To What A ‘Jew’ Is*

Page 192 *[B] Wisbey Misleads Jury That There is No Opposing
View To Expert Evidence*

Page 192 *[C] Prejudicial Comment By Wisbey Against Me*

Page 193 *[D] Wisbey Misdirects Jury – Definition Of Jews/Jewish*

Page 195 *[E] Wisbey Makes Prejudicial Comment Against Me*

Page 198 **[14] Refused Access To Audio Of Trial To Correct Transcript**

Page 201 **[15] Prejudiced By State Dignitary Protection Unit
Being Present In Court**

Page 201 **[16] Lack Of Detailed Indictment**

Page 206 **[17] My Need For Coffee**

Page 207 **[18] Incidental Points**

Page 208 **[19] Quick Notes On WASCA Decision - May 4th 2012**

Page 212 **[20] Why I Went On A Hunger Strike**

Page 215 **[21] Sentencing**

Page 220 *[A] DCJ Wisbey’s Rush To Sentencing*

Page 220 *[B] Specific Precedents*

Page 225 **CONCLUSION**

Page 226 ‘The Voice Of Human Justice’